

## New criteria for meromorphic $p$ -valent starlike functions

著者	Aouf M.K., Hossen H.M.
journal or publication title	Tsukuba journal of mathematics
volume	17
number	2
page range	481-486
year	1993-12
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2241/7256">http://hdl.handle.net/2241/7256</a>

## NEW CRITERIA FOR MEROMORPHIC $p$ -VALENT STARLIKE FUNCTIONS

By

M.K. AOUF and H.M. HOSSEN

**Abstract.** Let  $B_n(\alpha)$  be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_{-p} \neq 0, \quad p \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\})$$

which are regular in the punctured disc  $U^* = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$  and satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - (p+1) \right\} < -\alpha \quad (n \in N_0 = \{0, 1, \dots\}, \quad |z| < 1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < p),$$

where

$$D^n f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (p+m)^n a_{m-1} z^{m-1}.$$

It is proved that  $B_{n+1}(\alpha) \subset B_n(\alpha)$ . Since  $B_0(\alpha)$  is the class of meromorphically  $p$ -valent starlike functions of order  $\alpha$ , all functions in  $B_n(\alpha)$  are  $p$ -valent starlike. Further a property preserving integrals is considered.

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $\Sigma_p$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_{-p} \neq 0, \quad p \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\}) \quad (1.1)$$

which are regular in the punctured disc  $U^* = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ . Define

$$D^0 f(z) = f(z), \quad (1.2)$$

---

KEY WORDS—Regular,  $p$ -valent, starlike, meromorphic.

AMS (1991) Subject Classification, 30C45–30C50.

Received November 6, 1993.

$$\begin{aligned} D^1 f(z) &= \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + (p+1)a_0 + (p+2)a_1 z + (p+3)a_2 z^2 + \cdots \\ &= \frac{(z^{p+1}f(z))'}{z^p}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

$$D^2 f(z) = D(D^1 f(z)), \quad (1.4)$$

and for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} D^n f(z) &= D(D^{n-1} f(z)) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (p+m)^n a_{m-1} z^{m-1} \\ &= \frac{(z^{p+1} D^{n-1} f(z))'}{z^p}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

In this paper, we shall show that a function  $f(z)$  in  $\Sigma_p$ , which satisfies one of the conditions

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - (p+1) \right\} < -\alpha, \quad (z \in U = \{z : |z| < 1\}), \quad (1.6)$$

for some  $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < p)$  and  $n \in N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , is meromorphically  $p$ -valent starlike in  $U^*$ . More precisely, it is proved that, for the classes  $B_n(\alpha)$  of functions in  $\Sigma_p$  satisfying (1.6).

$$B_{n+1}(\alpha) \subset B_n(\alpha) \quad (1.7)$$

holds. Since  $B_0(\alpha)$  equals  $\Sigma_p^*(\alpha)$  (the class of meromorphically  $p$ -valent starlike functions of order  $\alpha$ ), the starlikeness of members of  $B_n(\alpha)$  is a consequence of (1.7). Further for  $c > 0$ , let

$$F(z) = \frac{c}{z^{c+p}} \int_0^z t^{c+p-1} f(t) dt. \quad (1.8)$$

It is shown that  $F(z) \in B_n(\alpha)$  whenever  $f(z) \in B_n(\alpha)$ . Some known results of Bajpai [1], Goel and Sohi [2] and Uralegaddi and Somanatha [5] are extended. In [4] Ruscheweyh obtained the new criteria for univalent functions.

## 2. Properties of the class $B_n(\alpha)$ .

In proving our main results (Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 below), we shall need the following lemma due to Jack [3].

LEMMA. Let  $w(z)$  be non-constant regular in  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ ,  $w(0) = 0$ . If  $|w(z)|$  attains its maximum value on the circle  $|z| = r < 1$  at  $z_0$ , we have  $z_0 w'(z_0) = k w(z_0)$  where  $k$  is a real number,  $k \geq 1$ .

THEOREM 1.  $B_{n+1}(\alpha) \subset B_n(\alpha)$  for each integer  $n \in N_0$ .

PROOF. Let  $f(z) \in B_{n+1}(\alpha)$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{n+2}f(z)}{D^{n+1}f(z)} - (p+1) \right\} < -\alpha, \quad |z| < 1. \quad (2.1)$$

We have to show that (2.1) implies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - (p+1) \right\} < -\alpha. \quad (2.2)$$

Define a regular function  $w(z)$  in  $U$  by

$$\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - (p+1) = -\frac{p+(2\alpha-p)w(z)}{1+w(z)}. \quad (2.3)$$

Clearly  $w(0)=0$ . Equation (2.3) may be written as

$$\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} = \frac{1+(2p+1-2\alpha)w(z)}{1+w(z)}. \quad (2.4)$$

Differentiating (2.4) logarithmically and using the identity (easy to verify)

$$z(D^n f(z))' = D^{n+1}f(z) - (p+1)D^n f(z), \quad (2.5)$$

we obtain

$$\frac{\frac{D^{n+2}f(z)}{D^{n+1}f(z)} - (p+1) + \alpha}{p-\alpha} = \frac{2zw'(z)}{(1+w(z))(1+(2p+1-2\alpha)w(z))} - \frac{1-w(z)}{1+w(z)}. \quad (2.6)$$

We claim that  $|w(z)| < 1$  in  $U$ . For otherwise (by Jack's lemma) there exists a point  $z_0$  in  $U$  such that

$$z_0 w'(z_0) = k w(z_0) \quad (2.7)$$

where  $|w(z_0)| = 1$  and  $k \geq 1$ . From (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

$$\frac{\frac{D^{n+2}f(z_0)}{D^{n+1}f(z_0)} - (p+1) + \alpha}{p-\alpha} = \frac{2kw(z_0)}{(1+w(z_0))(1+(2p+1-2\alpha)w(z_0))} - \frac{1-w(z_0)}{1+w(z_0)}. \quad (2.8)$$

Thus

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\frac{D^{n+2}f(z_0)}{D^{n+1}f(z_0)} - (p+1) + \alpha}{p-\alpha} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{2(1+p-\alpha)} > 0, \quad (2.9)$$

which contradicts (2.1). Hence  $|w(z)| < 1$  in  $U$  and from (2.3) it follows that  $f(z) \in B_n(\alpha)$ .

THEOREM 2. Let  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  satisfy the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - (p+1) \right\} < -\alpha + \frac{p-\alpha}{2(p-\alpha+c)} \quad (z \in U) \quad (2.10)$$

for a given  $n \in N_0$  and  $c > 0$ . Then

$$F(z) = \frac{c}{z^{c+p}} \int_0^z t^{c+p-1} f(t) dt$$

belongs to  $B_n(\alpha)$ .

PROOF. From the definition of  $F(z)$ , we have

$$z(D^n F(z))' = cD^n f(z) - (c+p)D^n F(z) \quad (2.11)$$

and also

$$z(D^n F(z))' = D^{n+1}F(z) - (p+1)D^n F(z). \quad (2.12)$$

Using (2.11) and (2.12) the condition (2.10) may be written as

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\frac{D^{n+2}F(z)}{D^{n+1}F(z)} + (c-1)}{1 + (c-1)\frac{D^n F(z)}{D^{n+1}F(z)}} - (p+1) \right\} < -\alpha + \frac{p-\alpha}{2(p-\alpha+c)}. \quad (2.13)$$

We have to prove that (2.13) implies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{n+1}F(z)}{D^n F(z)} - (p+1) \right\} < -\alpha. \quad (2.14)$$

Define  $w(z)$  in  $U$  by

$$\frac{D^{n+1}F(z)}{D^n F(z)} - (p+1) = -\frac{p+(2\alpha-p)w(z)}{1+w(z)}. \quad (2.15)$$

Clearly  $w(z)$  is regular and  $w(0)=0$ . The equation (2.15) may be written as

$$\frac{D^{n+1}F(z)}{D^n F(z)} = \frac{1+(2p+1-2\alpha)w(z)}{1+w(z)}. \quad (2.16)$$

Differentiating (2.16) logarithmically and using (2.5), we obtain

$$\frac{D^{n+2}F(z)}{D^{n+1}F(z)} - \frac{D^{n+1}F(z)}{D^n F(z)} = \frac{2(p-\alpha)zw'(z)}{(1+w(z))(1+(2p+1-2\alpha)w(z))}. \quad (2.17)$$

The above equation may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{D^{n+2}F(z)}{D^{n+1}F(z)} + (c-1)}{1 + (c-1)\frac{D^n F(z)}{D^{n+1}F(z)}} - (p+1) &= \frac{D^{n+1}F(z)}{D^n F(z)} - (p+1) \\ &+ \left[ \frac{2(p-\alpha)zw'(z)}{(1+w(z))(1+(2p+1-2\alpha)w(z))} \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{1 + (c-1)\frac{D^n F(z)}{D^{n+1}F(z)}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

which, by using (2.15) and (2.16), reduces to

$$\frac{\frac{D^{n+2}F(z)}{D^{n+1}F(z)} + (c-1)}{1 + (c-1)\frac{D^n F(z)}{D^{n+1}F(z)}} - (p+1) = - \left[ \alpha + (p-\alpha) \frac{1-w(z)}{1+w(z)} \right] + \frac{2(p-\alpha)zw'(z)}{(1+w(z))[c+(c+2(p-\alpha))w(z)]}.$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.

REMARKS. (i) Putting  $p=1$ ,  $a_{-1}=1$ ,  $n=0$  and  $\alpha=0$  in Theorem 2, we get the result of Goel and Sohi [2, Corollary 1].

(ii) For  $p=1$ ,  $a_{-1}=1$ ,  $n=0$ ,  $\alpha=0$  and  $c=1$  the above theorem extends a result of Bajpai [1, Theorem 1].

THEOREM 3.  $f(z) \in B_n(\alpha)$  if and only if

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{z^{1+p}} \int_0^z t^p f(t) dt \in B_{n+1}(\alpha).$$

PROOF. From the definition of  $F(z)$  we have

$$D^n(zF'(z)) + (1+p)D^n F(z) = D^n f(z).$$

That is,

$$z(D^n F(z))' + (1+p)D^n F(z) = D^n f(z). \quad (2.18)$$

By using the identity (2.5), (2.18) reduces to  $D^n f(z) = D^{n+1} F(z)$ . Hence  $D^{n+1} f(z) = D^{n+2} F(z)$ .

Therefore

$$\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} = \frac{D^{n+2} F(z)}{D^{n+1} F(z)}$$

and the result follows.

REMARK. Putting  $p=1$  in the above theorems, we get the results obtained by Uralegaddi and Somanatha [5].

### References

- [1] S.K. Bajpai, A note on a class of meromorphic univalent functions, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. **22** (1977), 295-297.
- [2] R.M. Goel and N.S. Sohi, On a class of meromorphic functions, Glas. Mat. **17** (1981), 19-28.
- [3] I.S. Jack, Functions starlike and convex of order  $\alpha$ , J. London Math. Soc. (2) **3** (1971), 469-474.

- [4] S. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **49** (1975), 109–115.
- [5] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, New criteria for meromorphic starlike univalent functions, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **43** (1991), 137–140.

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
University of Mansoura  
Mansoura, Egypt